

## EP0526611

Publication Title:

MECHANICALLY STRONG CATALYST SAVING NOBEL METALS AND A METHOD FOR ITS PREPARATION

Abstract:

The invention is a catalytic unit for combustion engine exhaust gases, the precoated thin metal foils of which are wound into a honeycomb in which the frequency of openings ranges from 600 to 120

1e8

0 openings per square inch.

-----  
Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - <http://ep.espacenet.com>



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b> <b>B01J 35/04, F01N 3/28</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 92/14549</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 3 September 1992 (03.09.92)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/FI92/00047 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 21 February 1992 (21.02.92) <b>(30) Priority data:</b> 910834 21 February 1991 (21.02.91) FI <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> KEMIRA OY [FI/FI]; P.O. Box 44, SF-02271 Espoo (FI). <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) :</b> LYLKANGAS, Reijo [FI/FI]; Jussinkuja 4, SF-41330 Vihtavuori (FI). LAPPI, Pekka [FI/FI]; Saikkosentie 13, SF-40250 Jyväskylä (FI). <b>(74) Agent:</b> BERGGREN OY AB; P.O. Box 16, SF-00101 Helsinki (FI).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT (European patent), BE (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), LU (European patent), MC (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), US.  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>In English translation (filed in Finnish).</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> MECHANICALLY STRONG CATALYST SAVING NOBEL METALS AND A METHOD FOR ITS PREPARATION  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  The invention is a catalytic unit for combustion engine exhaust gases, the precoated thin metal foils of which are wound into a honeycomb in which the frequency of openings ranges from 600 to 1200 openings per square inch.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FI	Finland	MI	Mali
AU	Australia	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BB	Barbados	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Faso	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IE	Ireland	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark	MC	Madagascar		
ES	Spain				

Mechanically strong catalyst saving noble metals and a method for its preparation

The present invention relates to a mechanically strong catalytic honeycomb, saving noble metals, for purifying combustion engine exhaust gases and to a method for its preparation, the flow channels in the honeycomb being substantially smaller in diameter and having substantially more openings per cross-sectional area than have prior-art catalytic units.

The suitability of catalysts for a specific purpose may be described by a number of different criteria. These include activity, selectivity and stability. Also physical properties, such as surface area, pore volume and pore distribution determine the functioning of a catalyst. The counterpressure generated when the exhaust gases flow through the honeycomb is a significant physical factor. Metal catalytic honeycombs for automobiles are, as a rule, formed by using uncoated corrugated and flat foil bands and by making a helical honeycomb (GB patent 2069364). Joints are welded at the ends in order to prevent the foil bands from moving in relation to each other. In this case, the honeycomb can be coated with a support material and a catalytic material only after the welding, since the support material lowers electric conductivity and hinders the flow of current during welding. When the support material is added to a completed honeycomb, it does not spread evenly but accumulates in the corners formed at the intersections of the foils. This reduces the open cross-sectional area of the opening, and the thick support material tends to chip off during use. Furthermore, a thick layer of support material will draw into itself actual catalyst, which, however will not be able to work effectively.

DE patent 2924592 discloses a method for preparing catalytic honeycombs in which an uncoated corrugated foil band and an

uncoated flat foil band are secured to each other by brazing. In the method the honeycomb can be coated only after the foils have been secured to each other.

In terms of the functioning of the catalyst it is of primary importance that the exhaust gas will come into contact with the catalytic surface of the catalytic unit. The reaction velocities are so high that they have less significance. For these reasons, efforts have been made to increase the frequency of openings in honeycomb-structured ceramic catalytic unit. Frequencies of approx. 400 openings per square inch have been achieved in ceramic honeycombs. In order to increase the frequency of openings, a shift has been made to metal honeycombs. There are also other reasons for this. At present, a commonly used functioning frequency of openings in metal honeycombs is approx. 500 openings per square inch.

Efforts have been made to improve the efficiency of catalytic metal honeycombs not only by increasing the frequency of openings but also by causing a "disturbance" of laminar flows in the openings, either by the design of the openings or by making various apertures and corrugations in the honeycomb structures (US patent 4559205 and DE 3738537).

In general, metal honeycombs are completed before the support material layer is applied to the surface of the annealed foil band. Furthermore, often a corrugated foil band and a flat foil band are brazed or in general fixedly secured to each other before the adding of a layer of support material. If the foil bands in a catalytic metal honeycomb are coated with a layer of support material, a large amount of excess support material is left at the joints of the openings formed. When the size of the openings is increased, the catalyst will not sufficiently well, and when the frequency of the openings increases close to 600 openings/square inch, problems with through-flow and purification efficiency begin.

The accumulation of support material in the corners of the honeycomb passages can be prevented by making a helical honeycomb from a corrugated foil and a flat foil, both coated in advance with a support material, and by supporting the honeycomb with end supports (FI patent 74523) or by a band drawn through the honeycomb (FI patent 78161).

It has now been observed surprisingly that a honeycomb with very small openings, made of corrugated and flat metal foils which have been coated in advance with support material and catalyst layers and have no brazed joints or corresponding fixed joints, is efficient in its purification capacity. The frequency of openings ranges from 800 to 1200 openings per square inch. It was observed surprisingly that also the counterpressure is satisfactory. When the cross-sectional area is sufficient, such a catalytic unit with a high frequency of openings can be made shorter, and thus both the counterpressure and the installability can be improved without decreasing efficiency. A metallic helical honeycomb such as this, having small openings and a high frequency of openings and having been coated in its open state, is mechanically very strong with respect to both axial and radial vibrations. The catalytic honeycomb can also be mounted in an inclined or perpendicular position in relation to the direction of the incoming flow.

When the frequency of openings in a catalytic unit is increased, the geometric surface area of the catalytic unit increases, while the dimensions of the openings decrease. In previous investigations it has been noted that the efficiency of a catalytic unit improves as the number of openings increases. It has been deemed that the reason for this is the increased total surface area of the catalytic unit (total surface area = volume x geometric surface area).

The studies carried out by us have, however, shown surprisingly

that the principal reason for the increasing of catalytic efficiency with an increased number of openings was the reduced dimensions of the openings. The diffusion distance from the exhaust gas to the catalytic surface was decreased, and this had a crucial effect on the efficiency of the catalyst. In Example 2, three catalytic units with different frequencies of openings are compared. All the catalytic units have the same total surface area, the same amount of noble metal, and the same metal composition.

Clearly the lowest emission values were achieved with a high frequency of openings and the poorest with the lowest frequency of openings. Since the total surface area of all of the honeycombs was the same, it could be seen that the decrease in the dimensions of the opening has a crucial effect on the conversion efficiency of the catalyst. On the other hand, with respect to the pressure lost, the order was the opposite.

In the second study, the effect of the increasing of the volume of the catalytic unit and the amount of noble metal was compared to the conversion efficiency of the catalyst. It was found surprisingly that a simultaneous increasing of the volume and the noble metal amount was a clearly less effective method of improving the conversion efficiency of the catalyst than was an increasing of the frequency of openings without increasing the amount of noble metal. Example 3.

On the basis of this it could be concluded that, by using substantially higher frequencies of openings in catalytic units than at present, substantial savings of expensive noble metals can be achieved.

#### Example 1.

The following test results illustrate the invention. A is the US efficiency requirement. B and C are results obtained by using conventional catalytic metal honeycombs, and D and E are

the results obtained using metal catalytic units according to the invention. The test results were obtained in a so-called CVS test (constant volume sample) according to FTP-75 cycle.

The volume of all of the catalytic units was  $1.04 \text{ dm}^3$ , all of them had the same diameter and length, and all of them contained the same amount of noble metals. All of the catalysts had been aged on an engine bench to a degree corresponding to at least 80,000 km of driving on the road.

		HC <sup>g</sup> /km	CO <sup>g</sup> /km	NOX <sup>g</sup> /km
A	US-83 requirement	0.25	2.11	0.62
B	400 openings/square inch	0.32	2.46	0.59
C	600 "	0.27	2.10	0.42
D	800 "	0.24	1.77	0.29
E	1000 "	0.22	1.50	0.25

#### Example 2.

In the tests, catalytic units having different frequencies of openings and different honeycomb lengths were investigated. The cross-sectional area was the same, as was the noble metal content.

Frequency of openings openings/square inch	Volume $\text{dm}^3$	HC g/km	CO g/km	NOx g/km
400	1.04	0.319	2.60	0.58
600	0.84	0.250	2.09	0.44
800	0.70	0.229	2.06	0.33

The geometric surface area was the same in all the three.

Both examples show the advantageousness of a high frequency of openings as compared with other catalytic honeycombs, having a lower hole volume.

The catalytic honeycomb according to the invention, having a



high frequency of openings, also has the advantage that it is also suitable as an oxidation catalyst for diesel engines.

One further surprising advantage is that the catalytic unit can be turned to a transverse position. Normally, when a catalytic unit is being mounted, it must be taken into account that

- the space is always limited in the vertical direction in the lower spaces of an automobile
- the space is often limited also in the lateral direction

Now, if in the system according to the invention the cross-sectional area has been increased, it is possible to make a shortened honeycomb which still works, and it can, in a turned position, be fitted even in congested spaces. In this case, satisfactory results are obtained with a small noble metal amount. The essential idea is that in this embodiment the honeycomb is turned, in relation to the plane of the automobile chassis, horizontally in relation to the exhaust gas flow direction. Example 3 illustrates results obtained using a catalytic unit according to this embodiment.

#### Example 3.

In this, a 0.84 dm<sup>3</sup> metal catalytic unit with 800 openings is compared with a 1.64 dm<sup>3</sup> ceramic catalytic unit with 400 openings.

Catalytic unit	Frequency of openings openings/square inch	Volume dm <sup>3</sup>	HC g/km	Co g/km	NOx g/km
Turned metallic	800	0.84	0.185	1.41	0.28
Straight ceramic	400	1.64	0.178	1.24	0.25

The catalysts were aged for 50 hours on an engine bench. This so-called rapid aging corresponds to approximately 80,000 km of driving on the road. The results from the catalytic units are

highly comparable even if the metal catalytic unit has a noble metal amount half that of the ceramic one.

Mechanical endurance is one of the most critical properties of catalytic units. Hot exhaust gas discharges from the cylinder as a strong pressure blast. The temperature of the exhaust gas may rise above 900 °C, and the pressure may vary between 0.8 and 1.8 bar. The exhaust gas produces a pressure blast in the catalytic unit, not only in the flow direction but also in a direction perpendicular to the flow direction.

The exhaust gas pressure impulse makes the honeycomb vibrate. The vibration produces noise which radiates outwards from the catalytic honeycomb. In extreme situations the foil of the honeycomb may break because of the vibration. An examination of honeycombs with different frequencies of openings showed surprisingly that the higher the frequency of openings the more rigid and stronger the honeycomb was.

In the studies performed it was observed that the strength of a honeycomb is inversely proportional to the power of three of the ridge distance in the corrugated foil. In other words, because at high frequencies of openings the ridge distance is shorter, the honeycomb is significantly stronger. When the frequency of openings increases from 400 to 800 openings per square inch, the rigidity of the honeycomb triples.

When the frequency of openings in a catalytic unit is increased, the counterpressure in the catalytic unit increases in proportion to the power of two of the (hydraulic) diameter of the opening. The pressure loss is inversely proportional to the cross-sectional area of the catalytic unit and directly proportional to its length. When high frequencies of openings are taken into use, the pressure loss due to the catalytic unit must be decreased either by shortening the honeycomb or/and by increasing the cross-sectional area.

In the latter case there is the problem that the flow distribution of gas through the honeycomb tends to worsen, since the flow tends, in accordance with the law of continuity, to pass through the middle. The larger the cross-sectional area, the poorer the relative flow distribution.

It was observed surprisingly that by turning the honeycomb according to the invention to a position partly or entirely transverse to the flow direction, the flow distribution could be improved. This solution is advantageous also with respect to the use of space, since in the exhaust gas flow direction under an automobile there is normally a great deal of space available. Instead, in the directions of height and width there is usually a shortage of space.

Claims

1. A mechanically strong catalytic unit wound into a honeycomb from corrugated and flat foils which have been coated in advance, characterized in that the frequency of openings in the honeycomb ranges from 800 to 1200 openings per square inch.
2. A method for preparing a catalytic unit according to Claim 1, characterized in that the foils are not fixedly secured to each other.
3. The use of a catalytic unit according to Claim 1 for the purification of engine exhaust gases, characterized in that the catalytic honeycomb is substantially shorter than prior-art metal and ceramic catalytic units.
4. A catalytic unit according to Claim 1, characterized in that the free surface area of an individual opening is less than  $0.8 \text{ mm}^2$ .

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/FI 92/00047

<b>I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>6</sup> According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC IPC5: B 01 J 35/04, F 01 N 3/28																	
<b>II. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Minimum Documentation Searched<sup>7</sup></div> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 25%; border: 1px solid black; text-align: left;">Classification System</th> <th style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: left;">Classification Symbols</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">IPC5</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">B 01 J; B 01 D; F 01 N</td> </tr> </table> <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in Fields Searched<sup>8</sup></div> <p style="padding: 5px;">SE, DK, FI, NO classes as above</p>			Classification System	Classification Symbols	IPC5	B 01 J; B 01 D; F 01 N											
Classification System	Classification Symbols																
IPC5	B 01 J; B 01 D; F 01 N																
<b>III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT<sup>9</sup></b> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: left;">Category<sup>10</sup></th> <th style="width: 60%; text-align: left;">Citation of Document<sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages<sup>12</sup></th> <th style="width: 30%; text-align: left;">Relevant to Claim No.<sup>13</sup></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">Y</td> <td>EP, A2, 0241269 (NGK INSULATORS, LTD) 14 October 1987, see page 2, line 17 - line 32; page 4 --</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1-4</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">Y</td> <td>US, A, 3692497 (C.D. KEITH ET AL) 19 September 1972, see column 7, line 44 - line 63 --</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1-4</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">A</td> <td>US, A, 4987112 (BERND ENGLER ET AL) 22 January 1991, see column 2, line 63 - line 65 --</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1-4</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">A</td> <td>SE, B, 408137 (JOHNSON, MATTHEY &amp; CO., LTD.) 21 May 1979, see page 12, line 4 - line 35 -- -----</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">1-4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category <sup>10</sup>	Citation of Document <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>	Y	EP, A2, 0241269 (NGK INSULATORS, LTD) 14 October 1987, see page 2, line 17 - line 32; page 4 --	1-4	Y	US, A, 3692497 (C.D. KEITH ET AL) 19 September 1972, see column 7, line 44 - line 63 --	1-4	A	US, A, 4987112 (BERND ENGLER ET AL) 22 January 1991, see column 2, line 63 - line 65 --	1-4	A	SE, B, 408137 (JOHNSON, MATTHEY & CO., LTD.) 21 May 1979, see page 12, line 4 - line 35 -- -----	1-4
Category <sup>10</sup>	Citation of Document <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>															
Y	EP, A2, 0241269 (NGK INSULATORS, LTD) 14 October 1987, see page 2, line 17 - line 32; page 4 --	1-4															
Y	US, A, 3692497 (C.D. KEITH ET AL) 19 September 1972, see column 7, line 44 - line 63 --	1-4															
A	US, A, 4987112 (BERND ENGLER ET AL) 22 January 1991, see column 2, line 63 - line 65 --	1-4															
A	SE, B, 408137 (JOHNSON, MATTHEY & CO., LTD.) 21 May 1979, see page 12, line 4 - line 35 -- -----	1-4															
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>* Special categories of cited documents: 10</b></p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the International filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>"T" later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>																	
<b>IV. CERTIFICATION</b> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">           Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search  <b>20th May 1992</b> </td> <td style="width: 50%; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">           Date of Mailing of this International Search Report  <b>1992 -05- 25</b> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">           International Searching Authority  <div style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;"> <b>SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE</b> </div> </td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">           Signature of Authorized Officer  <div style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;">   <b>Britt-Marie Lundell</b> </div> </td> </tr> </table>			Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <b>20th May 1992</b>	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <b>1992 -05- 25</b>	International Searching Authority <div style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;"> <b>SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE</b> </div>	Signature of Authorized Officer <div style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;">   <b>Britt-Marie Lundell</b> </div>											
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <b>20th May 1992</b>	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <b>1992 -05- 25</b>																
International Searching Authority <div style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;"> <b>SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE</b> </div>	Signature of Authorized Officer <div style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;">   <b>Britt-Marie Lundell</b> </div>																

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.PCT/FI 92/00047**

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the Swedish Patent Office EDP file on **28/03/92**.  
The Swedish Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A2- 0241269	87-10-14	JP-A- 63012024 US-A- 4810554	88-01-19 89-03-07
US-A- 3692497	72-09-19	BE-A- 783734 CA-A- 975539 DE-A- 2224679 FR-A-B- 2138754 GB-A- 1387017 SE-B-C- 379080	72-11-20 75-10-07 72-11-30 73-01-05 75-03-12 75-09-22
US-A- 4987112	91-01-22	DE-A-C- 3830317 EP-A- 0358123	90-03-15 90-03-14
SE-B- 408137	79-05-21	CA-A- 1078364 DE-A- 2450664 FR-A-B- 2257336 JP-C- 1191191 JP-A- 50112293 JP-B- 58023138 SE-C- 464798 SE-A- 7413242 GB-A- 1492929	80-05-27 75-04-30 75-08-08 84-02-29 75-09-03 83-05-13 91-10-10 75-04-25 77-11-23